

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/05/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/743,781	03/28/2001	Daniel Paris	0152.00391	7516	
7	590 11/05/2004		EXAM	INER	
Amy E Rinaldo			DELACROIX MUI	DELACROIX MUIRHEI, CYBILLE	
Kohn & Associates 30500 Northwestern Highway Suite 410			ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Farmington Hills, MI 48334			1614		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/743,781	PARIS ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cybille Delacroix-Muirheid	1614	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 01 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application application abandonment of this application abandment whicles are supplied as a second control of the con	ation. A proper reply to a high places the application in	
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	•	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	-		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic mely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic mely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the mailing date of this Angle of the checked.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate exter ont of the fee. The appropriate exter originally set in the final Office action	nsion nsion n; or
1.⊠ A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>01 October 2004</u> . A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	• •	•	
2. $oxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c)	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying t	:he
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject 	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendme	ent
5. ∑ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NOT place the	е
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: none.			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2.		·	
B.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appl	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer			
0. Other:		walle	
	. [WAYNE JONES	
		The same of the sa	

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: in claim 1, the newly added limitations "such as cerebral amyloid angiopathy, vascualr amyloidosis," etc. as well as "in an amount ranging from between about 0.1 ng to 10 mg/kg body weight/day" introduce possible 35 USC 112, paragraph 1 and 2 issues, thus warranting further consideration. Furthermore, these limitations would require an additional search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of reasons given previously in the office action mailed June 1, 2004.